©1982 Pergamon Press Ltd.

STRUCTURE OF LAURYCOLACTONE A AND B, NEW C₁₈ - QUASSINOIDS FROM EURYCOMA LONGIFOLIA AND REVISED STRUCTURE OF EURYCOMALACTONE $(X - RAY ANALYSIS)$

Nguyen-Ngoc-SUONG 5, Subodh BHATNAGAR, Judith POLONSKY * Marc VUILHORGNE, Thierry PRANGÉ and Claudine PASCARD

Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles, C.N.R.S. 91190 - GIF-SUR-YVETTE, France

Summary \cdot Laurycolactone A 2 and B 3 are new quassinoids with a C₁₈ basic skeleton isolated from the Vietnamese Simaroubaceae, Eurycoma longifolia Jack. The structure of Eurycomalactone, a C₁₉ quassinoid isolated previously from the same plant, has been revised and shown
to be $\frac{4}{1}$ The structures have been established by spectral means and those of 2 and $\frac{4}{1}$ confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis.

Previous studies of the chemical constituents of *Eurycoma longifolia* Jack, a Simaroubaceae common in Viet Nam, led to the isolation of the C_{19} quassinoid, eury comalactone, to which structure 1 has been attributed on the basis of chemical transformations. Later on³ 1_H - and 13 C-NMR spectra were claimed to be consistent with the assigned structure 1 However the arguments presented in the latter study³ do not lead to an unambiguous proof of structure 1

Further investigation of the plant extract has now led to the isolation and structural elucidation of two new C₁₈ quassinoids, named laurycolactone A 2 and B 3 . We also report the revision of structure 1 for eurycomalactone to structure 4

Laurycolactone A 2 m.p. 265-270° (decomp.), $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ + 216° (c=0 44, CHCl₃). The molecular formula $C_{18}H_{22}O_5$ was established by high resolution mass spectrometry with M⁺ at m_{Z} 318 466 and abundant fragmentation ions at m/_z 274.1575 (C₁₇H₂₂O₃, M⁺-CO₂), 259.1339 $(C_{16}H_{19}O_3$ M⁺ - CO_2 - CH₃) and the base peak at m/_Z 123 0809 $(C_8H_{11}O)$ assigned to the fragment ion 5. This ion probably arises by cleavage of the C₉, C₁₀ (MC Lafferty rearrangement) and C₆, C₇ carbon bonds, a peak of low intensity at m/₇ 109 0647 (C₇H_QO) was also present The 1 r spectrum (CHCl₃) showed carbonyl bands at 1775 (y-lactone), 1715 (ketone) and 1690 $\overline{\text{cm}}^{-1}$ (α , β -unsaturated ketone), and , in agreement with the formulation of ring A as in 2 , the u.v spectrum showed a maximum at 230 nm (ϵ =9.000) The 400 MHz 1 H-NMR spectrum (Table I) of laurycolactone 2 was particularly revealing and with extensive decoupling experiments allowed the identification of all proton resonances. The presence of the hydroxyl group at C-11 was suggested by the appearance of a signal at δ 5.00 as a doublet of doublets Furthermore, the spectrum showed that H-13 is only slightly coupled with H-14 and H-12 These negligible couplings as well as the observed long range coupling between H-12 and H-14 suggest the predominance of a similar conformation in solution to that observed in the solid state (vide infra). Inspection of the 13 C-NMR spectrum (Table II) of

S
Faculty of Sciences , University of Hô-Chi-Minh Ville, Hô-Chi-Minh Ville , Viet Nam.

Coupling constants in Hz

- $J_{9,11}$ =3 5, $J_{11,12}$ =5, $J_{12,13}$ = ca 0 $J_{12,14} = 1$, $J_{14,13} = 1$, $J_{13,Me-13} = 7$
- $\frac{3}{2}$ $J_{2, \text{Me-4}}=125$, $J_{9, 11}=35$, $J_{11, 12}=5$, $J_{12,13}$ =ca.0 $J_{12,14}$ = 1, $J_{13,Me-13}$ = 7, $J_{11,0H} = 56$
- $\frac{4}{1}$ $J_{3.5}$ ≤ 1 , $J_{3.0024}$ ≤ 1 , $J_{6.66}$ $= 15.5$, $J_{6e.5} = 4$, $J_{6a.5} = 135$, $J_{9.11} = 35$, $J_{11,12} = 5$, $J_{12,13} = \alpha a.0$, $J_{12,14} = 1$, $J_{13,Me-13} = 7$, $J_{1,0H} = 15$, $J_{11,0H} = 58$
- a In CDC1₃ + ~10% CD₃0D, b in CDC1₃ c In CD₂OD appears as a sharp singlet, d J-Values calculated from spectra recorded in $CD₂OD$
- e Complex pattern of overlapping signals

 $\frac{2}{5}$ $J_{2,Me-4}=0$ 5, $J_{6a,6e}=16$, $J_{6e,5}=13$, $J_{6a,5}=5$ The 13 C multiplicities for $\frac{2}{5}$ were determined by off-resonance decoupling and also by modulated spin-echo technique and those for $\frac{4}{5}$ by the latter technique The chemical shift assignments for 3 were made by analogy with those for 2 and 4 In CDC1₃ + \sim 10% CD₃0D, a

-
- In CDCl₃ b
- Values may be interchanged within any $c-e$ vertical column

laurycolactone A provides further confirmation for the proposed structure 2 Unequivocal proof for this structure was provided by single-crystal X-Ray analysis using crystals of 2 obtained from ethanol The molecular structure of 2 1s shown In Figure 1 _

Chromatography of the mother liquors of laurycolactone A 2 yielded a small amount of laurycolactone B 3 which crystallized from ethanol as large prisms, m p $228-230^\circ$,[$\alpha\text{)}_\text{n}^{22}$ + 92.6° (c=O 364, CHCl₃), C₁₈H₂₀O₅ (M⁺=316) Its 1 r. spectrum showed hydroxyl absorption at 3400 cm⁻¹ and carbonyl bands at 1775 (γ -lactone), 1700 and 1665 $\rm cm^{-1}$ (cross-conjugated dienedione) $^5.$ The u.v. spectrum displayed an absorption maximum at 285 nm (ϵ = 13 904) supporting the presence of a cross-conjugated dienedione chromophore – The 400 MH $_{\rm g}^{-1}$ H z H-NMR spectrum (Table I) of laury-

colactone B was In good agreement with the proposed structure 3. It showed nearly ldentlcal chemical shifts and multiplicities of the hydrogen atoms located in ring C, revealed an additional olefinic proton signal at δ 5.91 and lacked the methylene protons at C-6. The 13 C-NMR spectrum (Table II) of laurycolactone B fully confirmed its structure 3 and showed, as expected, besides the three carbonyl resonances, four additional sp² carbon atoms (δ 166.4, 165 0 133 0 and 116.3).

Laurycolactone A 2 and B 3 are closely related to samaderine A, isolated from Samadera $indica$, which was the first c_{18} -quassinoid to have its structure determined. These quassinoids with a contracted A-ring as well as the known C_{19} -quassinoids (the various samaderins and cedronins)⁶ have the 12-hydroxyl involved in their γlactone ring. This fact prompted us to reinvestigate the previously proposed structure¹ of eurycomalactone. A sample of eurycomalactone isolated by one of us (N.N.S.) was purified by repeated preparative t l.c. (AcOEt - hexane, 1 1) to give pure eurycomalactone, $C_{10}H_{0.2}O_{c}$, (M¹ = 348), λ $\frac{M_{max}}{m}$ 242 nm (E=14774), $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack$ \sim + 104.2° (c=O 144, CHCl₃). The 400 MH_z ¹H-NMR spe A-NMR spectrum (Table I) of eurycomalactone was very informative and was consistent with structure 4 but not with 1 Comparaison of this spectrum with that of laurycolactone A 2 indicated that the structural difference between

5162

these quasslnolds resided only In the A-ring, Thus, H-11 1s assigned the slgnal (dd) at 64 78 , H-13 1s only weakly coupled wth H-14 and H-12 and a long range coupling 1s observed between these two protons (vide infra). Further evidence for the revised structure 4 for eurycomalactone was obtained by interpretation of its 13 C- <code>NMR</code> spectrum (Table II) For a complete structural analysis, however, a single crystal X-ray analysis was carried out using **crystals** of eurycomalactone $\frac{4}{7}$ obtained from methanol solution. The molecular structure of 4 is shown in Figure 2 Laurycolactone A 2 did not display significant inhibition of cell transformation induced by Rous sarcoma virus⁷ nor against the $F-388$ lymphocytic leukemia

Crystal data Crystals of laurycolactone A 2 belong to the orthorhombic space group P2 $_1^2_1^2_1^2_1$ (Z=4) with the cell parameters of a =13 774, b=11.926 and c=9 721 A° and those of eurycomalactone $\frac{4}{1}$ belong to the monoclinic space group P2₁ (Z=2) with a=13 561, $b=6.263$, $c=10$ 451 A° and $\beta=107$ °5 The data have been recorded on a Philips PW1100 Four Circle diffractometer using the CuK_K radiation ($\lambda =1.5418$ A) monochromatized by graphite.1114 and 1379 structural factors above the 26 background level have been derived from the measured intensities, respectively Both structures have been solved by direct methods All the atoms of eurycomalactone 4 have been found In the E-map corresponding to the highest figure of merit and the structure of laurycolactone A 2 was obtained after a straightforward application of MULTAN program and the use of six selected symbolic phases in the starting set. Both structures have been anlsotroplcally refined to a R index of 5 7 and 6 3%, respectively All hydrogen atoms were found on difference Fourier syntheses and Introduced In the subsequent computations with a lsotroplc factor equal to that of the bonded carbon, they were not refined The figures 1 and 2 display the ORTEP representation of these two compounds viewed approximately perpendlcular to the B/C ring system $^8\!$ The dihedral angles between H-12 and H-13, and between H-13 and $H-14$ of laur_icolactone A 2 are 82 5° and 67°, respectively, those for eurycomalactone 4 are79° and 104°, respectively These values account for the observed negligible ${\rm J}^3$ $\rm \omega$ uplings of these protons Moreover, the long range coupling between H-12 and H-14 1s well explained by their planarity, in both structures, the four atoms $H-12$, $C-12C-14$ and $H-14$ are nearly coplanar, with a maximum deviation lower than 0 05 A°out of their mean plane; the C-13 atom of the W conformation lies 0 $4\Lambda^{\circ}$ for 2 and 0 7 Λ° for 4 above that clane

Acknowledgement We gratefully acknowledge the generous support provided by the National Cancer Institute, DHEW (Grant N° 5 RO1 CA 26699-02) and thank DGRST (No 80 7 04.39)for partial support We thank Mr F.Varenne for exact mass measurements REFERENCES AND NOTES

1- For previous paper in this series see A J Caruso, J Polonsky and B S. Rodriguez, Tetrahedron Letters,23, 2567 (1982) $2-$ Le-Van-Thol and Nguyen-Ngoc-Suong, J Org Chem, 35, 1104, 1970), and references therein 3- A Oei-Koch and Lj Kraus,Sci Pharm $48,110$ (1980) 4- C.Le Cocq and J V Lallemand,J.C S Chem Comm, 150(1981) 5- J Polonsky, J Zylber and R 0-B Wl]esekera,Bull Sot Chun France, 1715 ,(1962),M C Wanl, H L Taylor, M E Wall, A T Mc Phall and K D Onan , J C.S Chem Comm. , 295 (1977) 6 -J Polonsky , Fortschr Chem Orq. Naturst., 30 ,101 (1973) 7 -Pierré, A ,Robert-Gero,M , Tempête,C , Polonsky J , Biochem Biophys Res Commun , 2,675 (1980) $\overline{8-}$ The Atomic co-ordinates for this work are available onrequest from the Director of the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 ABW

(Received In France 22 September 1982)